## Appendix F – IHP Recommendations recommended to be rejected and referred to the Minister under clause 101(2)

For the decision on the zoning of 46 Jackson Street to be referred to the Minister

IHP Provision / Description Reason why the Council does not support the recommendation rejected by recommenda		Alternative recommendation	Why is the alternative recommendation preferred	
Waikato	tion			
District				
Council				
Planning Maps	There is no	There is a live appeal on this site (ENV-	Council seeks that the	Deferring a decision until the appeal
- zoning of 46	specific	2022-AKL00030). The appeal seeks	Minister defers making a	has been determined by the
Jackson Street,	recommenda	that 46 Jackson Street to be rezoned	decision on the zoning of 46	Environment Court ais considered
Ngaaruawaahi	tion	from General residential zone to	Jackson Street until the appeal	necessary to preserve Mr Upton's
a to Medium	associated	General rural zone. In the alternate, the	lodged by Mr. Simon Upton has been determined by the	appeal rights. If the Minister was to accept the rezoning to MRZ2, the
residential	with this site.	appeal now seeks for the land to be		
zone 2 ( MRZ2	The IHP did	rezoned to Large lot residential or some	Environment Court.	zoning would become operative
	not include	other lower density residential zone. It		upon notification of the Minister's
	Planning	also seeks a further alternative for the		decision under clause 105(7) of
	Maps with	rules to be amended to provide for an		Schedule 1 to the RMA. Once the
	their	appropriate transition from rural to		zoning is operative, the Court has no
	recommenda	residential zone.		jurisdiction to amend the zoning.
	tions. The			
	recommenda	For the reasons explained in the final		If the decision is deferred and the
	tion is a	column, Council does not support the		Environment Court determines the
	consequence	recommendation at this stage to		General residential zone is the most
	of the finding	rezone 46 Jackson Street to MRZ2 as it		appropriate zoning for 46 Jackson
	that the urban	wishes to preserve the appellant's		Road, the Minister can then accept
	fringe is not a	rights under the appeal.		the IHP's recommendation and
	qualifying			upzone the property to MRZ2.

Council Decision - 22 October 2024

matter and		
consequently		
the MRZ2		
applies .		

For the decision on 5837 Great South Road including zoning and the QM – Rule MRZ2-S1A  $\,$ 

IHP Provision /	Description of	Reason why the Council does not	Alternative	Why is the alternative
Recommendation	recommendation	support the recommendation	recommendation	recommendation preferred
rejected by				
Waikato District				
Council				
Planning Maps -	Apply a QM to the	There is a live appeal on this site	Council seeks that the	Deferring a decision until the
zoning of 5837	SASM at 5837	(ENV-2022-000045). The appellant	Minister defers making a	appeal has been determined by
Great South Road	Great South Road	is Blue Wallace Surveyors. The	decision on the zoning and	the Environment Court is
and QM Rule	and rezone the	appeal relates to the identification	the application of a QM to	considered necessary to preserve
MRZ2-S1A	land subject to	of a Maaori Site of Significance on	the site until the appeal	Blue Wallace Surveyors' appeal
Residential unit	the SASM from	the property and the associated	lodged by Blue Wallace	rights. If the Minister was to
within the QM for	GRZ to MRZ2.	objectives, policies and rules in the	Surveyors has been	accept the rezoning to MRZ2 and
5837 Great South		Sites and Areas of Significance to	determined by the	the site-specific QM, the
Road		Maaori Chapter of the PDP.	Environment Court.	provisions would become
				operative upon notification of the
		For the reasons explained in the		Minister's decision under clause
		final column, Council does not		105(7) of Schedule 1 to the RMA.
		support the recommendation at this		Once the zoning is operative, the
		stage to rezone the property to		Court has no jurisdiction to
		MRZ2 with a QM rule as it wishes to		amend the zoning or the
		preserve the appellant's appeal		associated QM.
		rights.		

	If the decision is deferred and the
	Environment Court determines
	that the SASM does not meet the
	test under s6 of the RMA, then the
	Minister can accept the IHP's
	recommendation to rezone the
	property to MRZ2 but there will be
	no justification for a QM.
	Conversely, if the Environment
	Court determines the SASM is
	appropriate, the Minister can
	accept the rezoning with the QM.